UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/559,704	04/27/2000	Michael D. Zoeckler	R029 1056 (7137-US)	2557
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING 32ND FLOOR P.O. BOX 7037 ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037			EXAMINER	
			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, in the second			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/559,704	ZOECKLER, MICHAEL D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher R. Harmon	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ar</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-11,13-15,25-29 and 31-33 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-11,13-15,25-29 and 31-33 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. s/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/7/08.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/7/08 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3. Claims 1, 5-7, 11, 13, 16, 25, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walsh (US 5,746,871).

Walsh discloses a method for forming carton blanks comprising advancing a web of paperboard 2 along a path; progressively applying and adhering at least a first and second ribbon of reinforcing material 6 with a width less than the paperboard to edge panel portions; the paperboard has longitudinally extending panel portions defined by fold lines 54; see figures 2 and 5-6. Carton blanks are cut from the laminated structure and later formed into a carton (score lines 54 are adjacent to edge of ribbon 6) to form individual carton blanks for receiving articles (see figures 1 and 2, column 1, lines 24-

65). Because the layers are added thicknesses to an original web, the resulting structure is considered reinforced.

An additional ribbon 10 is applied to the composite layers in order to adhere/laminate the structure together forming a double thickness of reinforcement; see figure 1.

Regarding claims 7 and 32, central ribbon 6 is considered overlying an intermediate panel portion as claimed and is applied in order to provide for two adjacent blanks after the cutting procedure, however note that the longitudinal fold lines 54 encompass either side of the are adhered to the opposing edges therefore overlie substantially but not beyond the intermediate panel portion; see figure 2.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh (US 5,746,871) in view of Decottignies et al. (US 5,097,651).

Walsh does not directly disclose printing indicia on the ribbon, however Decottignies et al. teach printing indicia on reinforcing material 5 before adhesion to web 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include printing indicia as desired for product enhancement.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704

Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

6. Claims 2, 14-15, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh (US 5,746,871) in view of McNown (US 5,447,270).

Walsh does not directly disclose using paperboard (trim or otherwise) for the reinforcing ribbons 6. However, McNown teaches manufacturing a paperboard carton with a reinforcing panel portions made of paperboard strips along score lines in order to increase structural integrity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a reinforcing ribbon of paperboard (trim, cull, etc.) as taught by McNown to reinforce support to the cartons of Walsh along score/fold lines.

7. Claims 1, 3-7, 11, 13-15, 25-29, 31-33 are rejected under 103(a) as being unpatentable over Claff (US 2,008,608) in view of McNown (US 5,447,270).

Claff discloses a method for forming carton blanks comprising advancing a continuous web of non-corrugated paperboard 1 along a path; progressively applying/laminating, with an adhesive, a plurality of ribbons of reinforcing material 2 with a width less than the paperboard substantially overlying substantially all of selected panel portions 3 (ie. portions to become panels in the final construction as interpreted by the Board of Patent Appeals); the paperboard is then scored and cut into individual carton blanks and then are formed into cartons for receiving articles (see figures 1-2 and 6-7). Reinforcing strips 2 are progressively folded/sealed/adhered to opposed edge portions of the paperboard web 1; see figure 6 however are disclosed as extending beyond the bottom edge fold line; see column 2, lines 30-37.

McNown however in addition to directly reinforcing fold/score lines teaches aligning reinforcing strips along fold/score lines for reinforcing selected panel portions (including an intermediate); see figures 1a-1c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include positioning reinforcing strips along the fold lines in the invention to Claff in order to sufficiently increase the structural integrity with reduced cost.

Regarding claims 6 and 33, Claff teaches the possibility of further layer 14 to be added atop first and second reinforcing strips 2; see page 2, left column, lines 38+.

8. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Claff (US 2,008,608) in view of McNown and further view of Lang (US 5,147,480).

Claff does not disclose printing on the reinforcing strips however Lang discloses printing on reinforcing strips; see abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include printing as taught by Lang on the reinforcing strips in the invention to Claff order to provide graphics/indicia according to a customer's needs.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note the previous rejections under 35 USC 112 have been removed due to the amendments filed changing the definition of a panel portion. Further note that Walsh is considered to disclose reinforcing web 2 by adhesion of strips 6 via laminate cover 10 with glue applied thereto.

### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher R Harmon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721